

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS**

<b>UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,</b>	)	
	)	
<b>Plaintiff,</b>	)	
	)	
<b>vs.</b>	)	<b>Case No. 3:12-cr-30171-DRH-2</b>
	)	
<b>HAROLD M. WHITE, JR.,</b>	)	
	)	
<b>Defendant.</b>	)	

**REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION**

The United States of America and the Defendant having both filed a written consent, appeared before me pursuant to Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 11 and SDIL Rule 72.1(b)(2). The Defendant entered a plea of guilty to Counts 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 8, 9, and 10 of the Second Superseding Indictment.

After cautioning and examining the Defendant under oath concerning each of the subjects mentioned in Rule 11, I determined that the guilty plea was knowing and voluntary as to each count, and that the offense charged is supported by an independent factual basis containing each of the essential elements of such offense. I therefore recommend that the plea of guilty be accepted, that a presentence investigation and report be prepared, and that the Defendant be adjudicated guilty and have sentence imposed accordingly.

**DATED: January 23, 2014**

s/ *Donald G. Wilkerson*  
**DONALD G. WILKERSON**  
**United States Magistrate Judge**

**NOTICE**

The failure to file a written objection to this Report and Recommendation within fourteen (14) days from the date of service shall bar an aggrieved party from challenging this Report and

Recommendation before the assigned U.S. District Judge. 28 U.S.C. 636(b)(1)(B).